

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,762	01/23/2001	Walter R. Harfmann	0869.073	3754
7:	590 06/06/2003			
Wayne F. Reinke, Esq.			EXAMINER KUHNS, ALLAN R	
Heslin & Rothenberg, P.C. 5 Columbia Circle				
Albany, NY 1	2203-5160		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	8
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office	Action Summary	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing Review, PTO-9	248 □ C	ther
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	lotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper i	•	ntervi w Summary, PTO-413
Attachment(s)	4 /-	
*Certified copies not received:		·
in this national stage application from the Internation		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Application N	o
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	–(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	- 	☐ disapproved.
Application Papers		requirement
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election	
MClaim(s) らしょ	is/are objected to.	
☐ Claim(s) /-17 44-55 AND 57-62 ☐ Claim(s) 563	is/are rejected.	
\Box Claim(s) $1-17 + 44 - 55 + 440 + 57 - 63$	is/are allowed.	
Of the above claim(s)		
7 (-)	is/are pending in the application.	
Disposition of Claims 7-17 AND 44-62 (X.Claim(s)		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
		•
Startus Kesponsive to communication(s) filed on MARCH	19. 2003	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa 	a reply within the statutory min ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE THE TOTAL TO EXPIRE	/_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
Priod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	THORF (3	1
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—
		Group Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
	1,0-7.	_

Serial Number: 09/768,762 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

- 1. Claims 8, 45-55 and 57-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for causing thermal isolation by locating an air gap between the first portion and second portion of an exiting channel, does not reasonably provide enablement for achieving thermal isolation by means other than locating an air gap between the first portion and second portion of the exiting channel. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.
- 2. Claims 1-17, 44-55 and 57-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1-17, 44 and 58-59 are indefinite because units of density are recited but are denoted as "specific gravity". Claims 8, 45-55 and 57-62 are indefinite due to the vague phrase "at least partially thermally isolating the first portion from the second portion", as in claims 8 and 45. One of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to establish with certainty the metes and bounds of these claims because one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to establish when the first portion and second portion of the exiting channel was or was not at least partially thermally isolated from each other. Thus, if these claims were to issue, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be able to determine whether or not these claims were being infringed or not.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Serial Number: 09/768,762 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-3 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. as set forth in the previous Office action in view of Wilkes et al. (5,817,705). Wilkes et al., for example at column 24, lines 6-7, teach the aspect of forming a polymer foam having a density of less than about 0.15 g/cc. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to operate the process of Wu et al. in a manner to produce a foam having a density within the range taught by Wilkes et al. in order to meet commercial demand for foams having such a density.
- 5. Claims 4-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. in view of Wilkes et al. as applied to claims 1-3 and 14-17 above, and further in view of Peters as set forth in the previous Office action.
- 6. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. as set forth in the previous Office action in view of Sanyasi. Sanyasi, at column 5, lines 23-27 teaches the aspect of forming a polystyrene foam having a density of less than about 0.15 g/cc. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the process of Wu et al. to form a polystyrene foam having a density within the instantly claimed range for instances where service temperature, fragility and chemical resistance are not of concern.
- 7. Claims 9 and 12-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial Number: 09/768,762 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Claim 56 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 8. allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

9. Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive. Applicant's arguments are considered to be moot by the examiner based on the

revised grounds of rejection introduced in this Office action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Crispino, can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

allen R. Kihm

ALLAN R. KUHNS BRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732